

MPA Enforcement: Practitioners Employ Mix of High-Tech and Community-Based Strategies

For a marine protected area to be able to meet its goals, resource users must comply with its regulations. Achieving such compliance from users can be a constant challenge for MPA practitioners. Managers with narrow budgets generally must rely on public-education techniques to build community support for the MPA. Larger budgets allow for greater surveillance and policing.

MPA practitioners are developing new techniques and using new technologies for addressing the challenges of compliance and enforcement. This month, MPA News surveys several managers and planners to ask what methods they are using to ensure that MPA regulations are followed.

Enforcement in the world's largest MPA

Australia's Great Barrier Reef Marine Park presents some monumental enforcement challenges. Roughly 344,000 sq. km in area — about the size of Japan — the marine park offers countless remote places where resource users might be tempted to disobey its multi-use zoning scheme. The marine park's several overlapping jurisdictions also pose the threat of bureaucratic confusion, potentially leading to enforcement loopholes.

Rising to meet these challenges is the marine park's Day-to-Day Management Program, which guides the park's field operations and routine activities. The program devotes one-third of its AU \$8.5 million (US \$4.4 million) annual budget to surveillance and enforcement activities. Air and sea patrols operate in the marine park on a daily basis: the program makes more than 100 charter surveillance flights per year, and its 10 vessels each average more than 200 days at sea annually.

The program's surveillance and enforcement efforts are the result of extensive coordination among agencies. The Day-to-Day Management Program itself is a joint effort of the Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority (GBRMPA) and the Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service (QPWS). Providing critical services for surveillance and enforcement are the Queensland Boating and Fishing Patrol, the Australian Coastwatch and Customs services, and commonwealth and state police services.

"A very strong culture of cooperation has been established between these agencies and GBRMPA," said CPWS' Peter McGinnity, director of the Day-to-Day Management Program. "The high level of cooperation is largely attributable to the fact that agencies see mutual benefit resulting from the cooperation." At peak periods, for example, agencies might have staffing limits that would restrict their ability to mount a patrol; by "cross-decking" (combining personnel), staff from other agencies can fill out a crew. Also, interagency cooperation allows for access to a broader range of equipment and expertise, which can be particularly valuable in mounting larger targeted operations.

The marine park's surveillance and enforcement efforts rely fundamentally on risk assessments to identify the probability of illegal activities occurring, weighted by the potential impact of those activities. Citing a 42% one-year increase in park-related prosecutions in the 1999/2000 financial year, McGinnity attributed it to a targeting of patrol effort at high-risk activities and an increase in overall patrolling effort.

Tracking fishing vessels by satellite

To observe violations and enforce regulations, MPA practitioners have historically had to be on site, in the MPA, to witness the illegal behavior. This is no longer the case for some. Fisheries management agencies of several countries have adopted vessel monitoring systems (VMSs) to track the paths of fishing vessels moving in and out of closed areas. In the US, the technology is actually being used to catch vessels in the act of illegal fishing.

A VMS works this way. At regular intervals, a small transmitter unit on a vessel sends a signal to a satellite. The satellite interprets the signal's time and position, then relays the data to a computer on shore, often located at a fisheries management agency. The agency computer plots the location data points and compares each vessel's location to closed areas. Using the distance traveled between reports, the computer also calculates the vessel's speed, which can indicate its activity. In the

Table of Contents

MPA Enforcement: Practitioners Employ Mix of High-Tech and Community-Based Strategies	1
US Establishes Center to Coordinate, Implement MPA Science	4
Science Panel Calls for More Reserves as Management Tools	4
US Marine Sanctuaries Law Reauthorized	5
MPA Update: Council Releases Final Report on MPAs in Victoria	6

MPA News

Editor-in-Chief

John B. Davis

Publisher

Bradford Powers, J.D.,
L.L.M.

Editorial Board

Chair

David Fluharty, Ph.D.
School of Marine Affairs
Univ. of Washington

Patrick Christie, Ph.D.
School of Marine Affairs
Univ. of Washington

Michael Murray
Channel Islands Nat'l
Marine Sanctuary

Direct correspondence
to: MPA News, School
of Marine Affairs, Univ.
of Washington, 3707
Brooklyn Ave. NE,
Seattle, WA 98105,
USA. Tel: +1 206 685
2170; Fax: +1 206 543
1417; E-mail: [mpanews@
u.washington.edu](mailto:mpanews@u.washington.edu).

.....

MPA News is produced
monthly as an independent
study initiative at the School
of Marine Affairs, Univ. of
Washington. The MPA News
staff is solely responsible for
content.

This publication was funded
in part by Washington Sea
Grant Program, Univ. of
Washington, pursuant to
National Oceanic and
Atmospheric Administration
Award No. NA76RG0119.
The views expressed herein
are those of the author(s) and
do not necessarily reflect the
views of NOAA or any of its
sub-agencies.

For a free subscription, send
an e-mail message to
mpanews@u.washington.edu.
Please type "subscribe" on
the subject line, and include
your name, mailing address,
and daytime phone number in
the text of the message.
Also, please note whether
you would like your subscrip-
tion to be delivered elec-
tronically or in paper format.

Enforcement

continued from page 1

northeastern US scallop fishery, for example, a speed of greater than 5 knots primarily indicates the vessel is in transit. A speed of below 5 knots primarily indicates the vessel is fishing or shucking its catch.

The US National Marine Fisheries Service (NMFS) has required the 270 boats of the Northeast's limited-access scallop fishery to carry VMS units since 1998. Each boat's monitoring system also has e-mail capability, which the vessels are required to use to report daily catch information back to shore. When the NMFS Northeast Region computer indicates that a vessel is illegally fishing in a closed area, it automatically sends an e-mail to the vessel, notifying the captain of the observed breach. Often, according to NMFS computer specialist Mike McSherry, the captain will e-mail back with an explanation; sometimes the explanations are legitimate, sometimes not. "I can usually tell," said McSherry.

The 24-hour monitoring capability of VMS is what makes the system so useful, said Bob Harman, VMS manager for the NMFS Southwest Region, which covers California, Hawaii, and Pacific territories. "Even if no one is here in the office, the system's still working." The Southwest Region requires VMS units to be carried on the Hawaiian pelagic longline fleet, which is particularly well-suited for tracking. A longliner's set is a 16- to 17-hour fishing event that provides a distinctive "signature" on the NMFS computer screen: two long parallel lines of location points, with the first indicating the laying-out of the longline and the second indicating its retrieval.

"Because of VMS, we think we know about 95% of the violations that occur in the Hawaii longline fishery," said Paul Ortiz, senior enforcement attorney for the Southwest Region. Incidentally, the response of the NMFS Southwest Region to a violation is different from the Northeast Region's. When longliners are observed via VMS to be fishing in a closed area, the Southwest Region sends a Coast Guard vessel or plane to take photographs of the infraction, providing additional evidence against the vessel.

The fishing industry's response to VMS has been somewhat mixed. The sensation that government is constantly looking over the fisher's shoulder is unpopular. The technology can also be somewhat pricey: although the Hawaiian longline vessels have received their VMS units for free as part of a government pilot project, the Northeast scallop vessels had to purchase their units, which cost about US \$6000 to purchase and install per vessel, plus \$100/month to operate. However, the monitoring systems also offer some benefits to fishers. Systems with e-mail capability allow fishers to keep in touch with other parties besides fisheries managers. In addition, VMS data have been used to

exonerate vessels falsely accused by officers of illegal fishing. And VMS can serve as a safety device, informing rescuers of the location of a vessel in distress.

Live video from an MPA

Another high-tech method of observing activities in an MPA is offered by the website "www.racerocks.com", which offers real-time video of the Race Rocks archipelago in British Columbia, Canada. The waters surrounding Race Rocks are in the process of becoming Canada's first marine protected area under the national Oceans Act (MPA News 2:4). The Race Rocks website, managed by Garry Fletcher of Lester B. Pearson College, allows visitors to operate a remote-control camera for a panoramic view of the Race Rocks area. The remote-control camera, as well as several stationary cameras that also feed video to the website, are each stationed on the main island of the small Race Rocks archipelago.

The cameras — primarily intended to help monitor wildlife and educate visitors about Race Rocks — have had the side effect of being a surveillance tool. The Department of Fisheries and Oceans has received e-mail messages from visitors to www.racerocks.com notifying the department of illegal activities observed around the islands.

While such video capability may hold promise for MPA enforcement in the future, Fletcher plays down its importance to Race Rocks. "What should be emphasized is that having cameras is important not so much for surveillance, since that is a rather negative term, but rather for monitoring," he said. "With monitoring, we are able to establish the patterns of normalcy in the MPA so that when disruptions occur we can deal with them. Also, if people through their association with the web pages and cameras become more attuned to the values of a protected area, then I think we have gone a long way to ensuring the area's sustainability."

Angus Matthews, Pearson College's administrator and director of special projects, said the permanent presence of two human "eco-guardians" stationed on the archipelago's main island has been essential to ensuring compliance. The guardians, financed by the college, approach violators by boat to discuss infractions. However, added Matthews, it has been the high level of community support for Race Rocks that has offered the biggest inducement for compliance. "Local divers, eco-tour companies, and sportfishers have all voluntarily developed their own best-practice codes or agreed to significant limitations on their activities," he said.

Now Fletcher and Matthews are faced with finding funding to continue Pearson College's efforts with the eco-guardian station, the website, and other Race Rocks-related expenses. "The project will be broke on March 1, 2001," said Matthews. "This will be the fourth near-death experience [due to funding] for our efforts at Race Rocks in the last five years."

Community support and enforcement

Strong community support for an MPA makes the job of enforcement cheaper and easier. However, a community's skepticism of enforcement efforts can be a critical factor in eroding local confidence in a management authority. On the island of Roatán, Honduras, poor and middle-income islanders have expressed concern that enforcement and management in the Sandy Bay-West End Marine Reserve have been skewed against them.

In a case history published in *Marine and Coastal Protected Areas: A Guide for Planners and Managers, Third Edition* (IUCN, 2000 — reviewed in MPA News 2:4), Nelia Forest of the University of California-Berkeley (US) writes that Roatán's poor residents perceive they are being asked to restrict environmentally damaging activities while the activities of powerful residents continue unchallenged. Subsistence harvesters of conch, fish, and lobster in the reserve have been fined; meanwhile, houses and roads continue to be built on steep slopes next to reefs, and marshes are filled for real estate development. In one survey of locals, more than 50% expressed concern about ineffective management of the reserve and the need for stronger, more equitable measures.

An initiative coordinated by the Wildlife Conservation Society (WCS), a US-based NGO, aims to help rebuild community support for the reserve. With funding from the US Agency for International Development and Fundación Vida of Honduras, WCS is providing technical assistance in preparation of a new, participatory management plan. By early 2001, the plan is expected to be submitted for final approval to the Honduran government by the Bay Islands Conservation Association (BICA), the local NGO that shares management authority for the reserve with the national government.

At the core of the upcoming management plan are recommendations to make reserve decisionmaking and enforcement more transparent and inclusive, involving resource users such as fishers and the dive industry. The plan also calls for the participation of a broad array of stakeholders on a new local management committee for the reserve; such committees are now required for all parks and reserves under new Honduran protected area regulations passed this year.

Even with approval of the new plan, it could take some time to build adequate support and trust among islanders for the reserve's management. "Resolving the problems of managing the Sandy Bay-West End Marine Reserve is a challenging and long-term task," writes Forest.


Voluntary MPAs

Could the compliance of resource users ever be so good that there would be no need for enforcement? Managers in island-based San Juan County of the northwestern US state of Washington are exploring that possibility. In 1998, in response to community concerns about declining rockfish and lingcod stocks, a county committee established eight small "bottomfish recovery zones" (BRZs), all of which are closed to bottomfishing on a voluntary basis. The committee sited each BRZ in an area that was historically a good fishing site but had since declined in catch-quality. Signs on shore notify fishers of the BRZs, which extend about 400 yards (366 meters) from the shoreline.

The voluntary basis of the BRZs was established for several reasons, according to Kari Koski, coordinator of Soundwatch, an NGO that is contracted to manage the county's bottomfish recovery program. First, the county doesn't have jurisdiction over its coastal waters; that jurisdiction resides with the state of Washington. Second, said Koski, the voluntary aspect fits well with the nature of the local islanders. "The islanders prefer not to be told what to do, but they'll do what they know is right," she said. Third, if compliance were mandatory, an enforcement presence would be necessary to make it work.

As it is, Soundwatch maintains a regular educational presence in the BRZs, said Koski. With a US \$70,000 annual budget, Soundwatch sends a boat out to visit the zones at least four times each month, particularly during seasons and times when recreational fishers are most likely to be active. The Soundwatch officials approach users in the zones and instruct them on the bottomfish recovery program. "We've found that one-on-one contact with people right when they're hoping to fish is effective," said Koski.

There have been only a few — perhaps three — non-compliant individuals, she said. "They know us, we know them," said Koski. One individual operates a fishing charter boat that frequents one of the BRZs in particular. Koski said that operator is now experiencing peer pressure to obey the BRZs from the local port authority and residents. The large majority of local fishers have complied with the BRZs, she said, because they recognize that the fish they've been catching in recent years have grown smaller and smaller.

Notably, there are many non-voluntary MPAs in the San Juan Islands managed by state and federal agencies, including 83 national wildlife refuge sites. Although the refuges feature signage, there is no enforcement presence, and trespassers are frequent. Koski said, "It's great that the refuges are there, but the islander community does not really treat them as refuges." Assisted by some federal funding, Soundwatch has added the refuges to its visitation list. 

For more information

Peter McGinnity, Queensland Parks and Wildlife Service/Great Barrier Reef Marine Park Authority, Queensland, Australia. Tel: +61 7 4726 0560; E-mail: peter.mcginnity@env.qld.gov.au

Mike McSherry, NOAA NMFS, 166 Water Street, Woods Hole, MA 02543, USA. Tel: +1 508 495 2301 x2301; E-mail: mike.mcsherry@noaa.gov

Bob Harman, NOAA NMFS, 300 Ala Moana Blvd. 7-118, Honolulu, HI 96850, USA. Tel: +1 808 541 3075; E-mail: bob.harman@noaa.gov

Paul Ortiz, NOAA GCEL/SW, Room 4470, 501 West Ocean Blvd., Long Beach, CA 90802-4213, USA. Tel: +1 562 980 4069; E-mail: paul.ortiz@noaa.gov

Garry Fletcher and Angus Matthews, Lester B. Pearson College, 650 Pearson College Drive, Victoria, BC V9C 4H7, Canada. Tel: +1 250 391 2441; E-mails: gffletcher@pearson-college.uwc.ca and amatthews@pearson-college.uwc.ca

Kari Koski, Soundwatch, The Whale Museum, P.O. Box 945, Friday Harbor, WA 98250, USA. Tel: +1 360 378 4710; E-mail: whale@rockisland.com

Editor's note: This past month, there were several MPA-related developments in the US, which are detailed on this page and the facing page. Normally, MPA News would not devote this amount of space and attention to assorted developments in one country. However, the following were of a nature that may be of wider international interest to MPA News' readers — e.g., the formation of a clearinghouse for MPA scientific information, and a high-level science report on the usefulness of marine reserves and ecosystem-based management.

US Establishes Center to Coordinate, Implement MPA Science

The US federal government has established a center to improve communication between MPA scientists and managers. Called the Center for Marine Protected Area Science, the institution is designed to serve as a hub for initiating, supporting, and coordinating MPA science and policy analysis in the US.

Located in Santa Cruz, California, the center is scheduled to be fully operational by early 2001. The National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA) is responsible for managing it.

Charlie Wahle, acting director of the center, said one of its roles would be to address what he called a general lack of understanding between scientists and managers. "Managers don't always understand how scientific information can be used effectively, and scientists don't always understand the major needs of managers," said Wahle. "The center's job is to bridge that gap."

The center is expected to take the lead on:


- Serving as a clearinghouse for scientific information
- Targeting research on ecological processes important to MPA planning and management
- Convening workshops around themes of planning and management
- Exploring and characterizing ocean habitats for new MPAs

- Assessing emerging threats and user conflicts
- Analyzing policy, socioeconomic, and resource-use issues affecting MPAs

Collaborative relationships

The establishment of the Center for MPA Science follows President Clinton's executive order last May that ordered NOAA to establish a new Marine Protected Areas Center to provide the science, tools, and strategies for building a national system of MPAs (MPA News 1:8). Part of NOAA's response has been to create two regional MPA centers: the Center for MPA Science in Santa Cruz, and a Center for MPA Training and Technical Assistance in Charleston, South Carolina.

Wahle expects the Center for MPA Science to develop collaborative relationships with several marine-oriented institutions in its immediate vicinity, including major research universities, a new NOAA fisheries laboratory, the Monterey Bay Aquarium Research Institute, and others. The center will also team up with governmental and NGO experts from around the nation and the world.

"I don't expect the center to be doing most of the research itself — we don't want to duplicate the research that others in academia and the government are already doing," said Wahle. "We just want to help people access it better." 

For more information

Charlie Wahle, 25020 Outlook Dr., Carmel, CA 93923, US. Tel: +1 831 238 2244; E-mail: Charles.Wahle@noaa.gov

Science Panel Calls for More Reserves as Management Tools

Marine resource managers should increase their use of marine reserves, or no-take areas, as a supplement to conventional management tools, according to a new report from a committee of the US National Research Council (NRC). The report argues that the lack of experience with marine reserves should not stop managers from implementing them in an adaptive manner.

"Declining or poorly managed fish populations and damage to marine habitats are discouraging signs that conventional ocean-management practices are insuffi-

cient," said NRC committee chair Ed Houde in a statement following the report's release. The report provides a survey of scientific evidence in support of reserves.

The NRC is the principal operating arm of the National Academy of Sciences, a private, nonprofit institution that provides scientific and technical advice under charter from the US Congress. The committee that wrote the report consisted of academics from the fields of marine resource management and marine ecology.


continued on next page

Ecosystem-based management

Conventional US fisheries management has focused on individual species. The NRC report endorses the use instead of an ecosystem-based approach, citing research to show that seabed habitats are being degraded by fishing and other human activities. The committee notes that the overall goal of marine management should be to maintain the health of ecosystems beyond the area protected within reserves. Conventional fishery regulations in open areas and controls on damaging activities will still be necessary, according to the committee.

The report assesses the scientific basis of techniques used for the location, design, and implementation of reserves,

drawing on examples from the US, Australia, Canada, New Zealand, and elsewhere. It also recommends ways to improve implementation, and identifies future avenues of research.

The report was sponsored by the National Oceanic and Administration, the National Fish and Wildlife Service, and the National Park Service. 

Report available online

To view an online version of the report, *Marine Protected Areas: Tools for Sustaining Ocean Ecosystems*, go to <http://www.nap.edu/catalog/9994.html>. The published version of the report is forthcoming from the National Academy Press, 2101 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20418, USA. Tel: +1 202 334 3313.

US Marine Sanctuaries Law Reauthorized

President Clinton signed a bill on November 13 to reauthorize the US National Marine Sanctuaries Act (NMSA). The reauthorized NMSA entails some changes in the law, including a new requirement that the US' existing national marine sanctuaries be deemed to have "sufficient resources" to implement their management plans before any new sanctuaries are designated. The reauthorized law also allows the US President to designate any coral reef in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands (NWHI) as a "coral reef reserve" to be managed by the US Secretary of Commerce.

This is the second time this year the NWHI coral reef ecosystem has been in the nation's news. In late May, President Clinton initiated a 90-day review process with state and regional stakeholders to decide whether more protection was needed for the NWHI coral reefs, which account for 70% of US coral reefs. As of mid-November, no recommendation had yet been announced.


The reauthorized bill also creates a scholarship program in honor of the late Nancy Foster to provide financial support for graduate students in the fields of oceanography, marine biology, and maritime archeology. Foster, a former director of the National Marine Sanctuary Program, served most recently as assistant administrator for the US National Ocean Service.

Innovative management

The new NMSA also encourages the application of innovative management techniques in the sanctuaries. Dan Basta, acting director of the National Marine Sanctuary Program, said this fits well with the program's current direction, which he characterized as having a new focus on ecosystem-based management.

For the program's three sanctuaries off the central California coast, Basta has initiated a project to facilitate their management as a system rather than as three independent sites. The three sanctuaries are in Monterey Bay, the Gulf of the Farallones, and Cordell Bank. He anticipates starting the project in earnest early in 2001.

The program is also backing a proposed project to educate the public on the connected marine systems through the Gulf of Mexico and up the southeast coast of the US. Called "Islands in the Stream", the project would feature a research cruise from Belize to the US state of North Carolina with stops at ports along the way.

Basta said the platform would be good for raising public awareness about the connectedness of the regional ecosystem. "If you're looking to educate, event-driven projects really get people's attention," he said. 

For more information

Mike Murphy, Public Outreach Specialist, Nat'l Marine Sanctuary Program, 1305 East West Highway, #11356, Silver Spring, MD 20910, USA. Tel: +1 301 713 3141 x169; E-mail: michael.t.murphy@noaa.gov

MPA News is online: www.mpanews.org

Are you missing a back issue of MPA News? All of our back issues are available on our website in HTML and PDF formats.

MPA Update: Council Releases Final Report on MPAs in Victoria

The Australian state of Victoria should set aside more than 6% of its waters in a network of “highly protected” (no-take) areas to safeguard spawning sites and other important habitats, according to the final report of an advisory council to the state government. Currently, 0.05% of Victorian waters serve as no-take areas.

The report, produced by the Environment Conservation Council (ECC) of Victoria, marks the culmination of an investigative process begun in 1991 by a preceding council. The ECC advises the Victorian government on the use of public lands; its investigation came at the government’s request. Its final report incorporates stakeholder responses to a draft that the ECC released in December 1999 (MPA News 1:5).

ECC final report is online


An electronic copy of the Environment Conservation Council’s report, *Marine Coastal & Estuarine Investigation: Final Report*, is available online, at <http://www.nre.vic.gov.au/ecc/marine/report2000.htm>

marine sanctuaries to be approximately AU \$7 million (US \$3.6 million), with most of the value derived from abalone fishing. The report suggests that if foregone catch could not be harvested elsewhere, the potential job loss as a result of the new no-take areas could be around 0.3% of all employment in towns located near the no-take areas.

However, the ECC expects that individual incomes would be reduced rather than jobs lost. The net effect on a town’s economy is not expected to be significant, according to the report. “On balance, the ECC believes that the environmental outcomes will, in the medium term, outweigh the possible initial economic and social costs,” writes the council, adding that the government could take adaptive measures to assist groups suffering as a result of the no-take areas.

Although the no-take recommendations have raised concerns among proponents of multiple-use approaches to marine management, the council states that the no-take areas in fact represent “a central component” of multiple use planning and management, with some areas set aside specifically for conservation the same way that other sites can be set aside for aquaculture, recreation, or commercial fishing.

Several organizations expressed their support for the final report’s recommendations. Amanda Martin, director of the Victorian National Parks Association, said, “Victoria now has the opportunity to lead the world in establishing a comprehensive and representative system of marine national parks.”

The Victorian arm of the Australian Marine Sciences Association (AMSA), a national professional organization for marine scientific research, also voiced its approval. “Marine national parks are insurance for sea life, insurance against overfishing, and insurance from imprecise fisheries science and management,” said Gary Poore, an AMSA spokesperson. 

The ECC report names sites suitable for the creation of 13 “marine national parks” and 11 smaller “marine sanctuaries”. The marine national parks and marine sanctuaries would be no-take areas and would cover 630 sq. km — 6.2% — of Victoria’s marine environment. In addition, the ECC has recommended the creation of 18 “special management areas” requiring a lower level of protection, and 12 “marine aquaculture zones”.

[Editor’s note: The ECC’s final suggested number of marine national parks, special management areas, and marine aquaculture zones differs slightly from the number recommended in the December 1999 draft report.]

Economic impact

The ECC estimates the annual value of commercial fisheries in the recommended marine national parks and

For more information

Environment Conservation Council, 3rd Floor, 250 Victoria Parade, East Melbourne VIC 3002, Australia. Tel: +61 3 9412 5100; E-mail: ecc2@nre.vic.gov.au

We’d like to hear from you

MPA News recognizes the diversity of perspectives held by MPA experts around the world. We welcome the use of MPA News for the sharing of these viewpoints. If you have a unique perspective on an issue affecting the global MPA community, or an interesting first-hand experience relevant to the planning or management of MPAs, please let us know about it! We look forward to hearing from you.

For our submission guidelines, please go to <http://depts.washington.edu/mpanews/guidelines.html>.